

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/645,078

Applicant(s)

BISTRUP ET AL.

Examiner

Marjorie A. Moran

Art Unit

1631

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(3) _____.

(2) Paula Borden.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8/21 and 8/25/03.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Marjorie A. Moran
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner informed Ms. Borden that claims 1 and 16-20 were allowable and asked if applicants wished to cancel other claims and have claims 1 and 16-20 go to issue. The examiner and Ms. Borden also discussed possible claim amendments with regard to claims 36 and 37, but did not reach any agreement. In a second conversation, Ms. Borden stated that applicant did not authorize cancellation of any claims at this time. The examiner said that an office action on the merits of the pending claims would be mailed..